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[Editor’s Note: This is the second part of a two-part series. See Does It Matter Where You Come From? in VOYA October 2011 for Part 1.]

Most students know Rosa Parks as the woman with tired feet who refused to move to the back of the bus. What they don’t know is that, for all of her life, she was an avid Civil Rights worker. Elementary school children learn about Christopher Columbus as an adventurous explorer, not as a mercenary who hunted only for gold and who cut off the feet of captive Indians who failed to return with the allotted gold.

Sure, the traditional stories are cherished, but we have to ask ourselves: Are they accurate? Are they authentic? Are these the stories that we should be passing along to our students?

Most educators believe that exposing students to multicultural literature will open a student’s eyes to other ethnicities which, in turn, enhances their understanding of others in a global world—a theory that almost anyone would see as a worthy classroom goal.

But, initially, the genre was dominated by European American authors: White authors telling the Hispanic migrant worker story, white authors sharing the black slavery experience, white authors writing the journey story of Native Americans to the reservation.

Through the years, the genre has progressed to incorporate the stories of many races and cultures and has increased author opportunities to share their own stories. Now, despite its complicated history and many faces, educators who are looking for a way to simply categorize multicultural literature can use the Cooperative Children’s Book Center (CCBC) definition, “Books by and about people of color.”

One of the groundbreakers in multicultural literature criticism was Rudine Sims Bishop. Her book, Shadow and Substance: Afro-American Experience in Contemporary Children’s Fiction, laid the groundwork upon which multicultural literature is evaluated, even today. In her book, Sims Bishop identified three categories of multicultural books: the Melting Pot books, Culturally Conscious books, and Social Conscience books (Sims Bishop, 1982). Though these book types are fairly self-explanatory, where a book fell within a particular category depended on what was actually written between its pages, not the color or race of the author who wrote the book. Those debates (i.e. Can a story about an African American be authentic only if it is written by an African American?) are the questions that continue to swirl about the multicultural literature community. It depends on who you talk to whether it matters or not.

Before Sims Bishop, one could essentially pinpoint the evolution of multicultural literature to changing cultural sensibilities, like the Civil Rights Movement, school segregation, and other changing social events. In addition, Nancy Larrick’s 1965 Saturday Review article, “The All-White World of Children’s Books,” created a national buzz about multicultural literature (Larrick, 1965). The creation of the Council on Interracial Books for Children (CIBC) also brought these issues to national attention. In fact, we have the CIBC to thank for the writing careers of such notable authors as Walter Dean Myers, Virginia Driving Hawk Sneve, Sharon Bell Mathis, and Mildred Taylor. But, with all of these events came a changing population with increased immigration, legal and illegal, and our schools became multicolored as well as multicultural. All of these transformations forced the issue of who should write these books, and how they should be written.

Still, the publishing industry, even to this day, has lagged woefully behind all this progress, and in fact, inhibits the diversification of children’s literature in ways that continue to foster certain historical misconceptions and perceptions.
thousand titles, and of those thirty-four thousand books only two
century, and of those thirty-four thousand books only two
hundred fifty-eight books were written by African American
authors or contained African American-oriented content. Only
thirty-one books were written by American Indian authors and/or
contained American Indian themes, topics, or characters. Just one
hundred twenty-four books were written by Asian Pacific authors
or contained Asian Pacific cultural content. Finally, only one
hundred twenty-one books had Latino content or were written by
Latino authors. Since the CCBC has been keeping track of these
numbers (since 1985), they haven’t changed much from year to
year, says Kathleen Horning, executive director of the CCBC,
noting that they have never seen more than five percent of the
books published for children written by people of color.

“Back in the 1980s and into the 1990s, we used to hear that
publishers wanted to publish more multicultural books, but that
they didn’t have authors and artists of color submitting things,”
Horning said. “The last ten years we have been hearing that [it is]
marketing that drives the decisions. The book buyers claim that
books with kids of color on the cover don’t sell or, in order for the
buyers to purchase these books, a kid of nondescript color needs
to be on the cover.”

Recently the blogosphere exploded with controversy when
Bloomsbury USA used a white model on the cover of Justine
Larbalestier’s book, Liar. In her book, she describes her main
protagonist as “black with nappy hair.” So, Larbalestier spoke
out. Enraged bloggers spoke out, also, and one, LaTonya Baldwin,
mobilized her followers, founding the organization, Readers
Against WhiteWashing. While Bloomsbury USA backed down
and replaced the cover, they aren’t the only publishers who have
“white-washed” covers, and they continue to rationalize that books
with white models sell better than those with models with varied skin colors. For
Horning, these are enormously sweeping assumptions. “I mean, do we just believe
these booksellers and buyers, that people of color don’t buy books, or that they don’t
come into the bookstore to buy books, or
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House as a piece of literature to a group of third graders affirms those misconceptions, unless teachers actually challenge that,” said Reese. So we must, Reese says, become more educated consumers of literature, especially multicultural literature. Even still, this can be difficult, since there are so many misrepresentations. “If I piled the books that have poor depictions on any point of Native Americans next to the pile that have the accurate representations, it [the difference] is huge,” Reese said. “I would like consumers to apply a discerning eye to Native American literature just like they do when they are buying in the market.”

Unfortunately, misrepresentation will continue to exist if educators and critics don’t insist on accuracy. Of course, anyone can write whatever they want, says Horning, but it becomes a conflict when people criticize what’s been written. “I know everyone wants to write the best book that they can, but I think that people of that culture or race have every right to criticize the book if something doesn’t ring true to them or they know that there is inaccuracy,” Criticism, too, isn’t limited to those of that race or culture, or to our lofty book reviewers. Criticism should be an innate process in the educator’s role, before that literature makes it to the classroom of impressionable students.

While most teachers have only a finite amount of time for literature evaluation, a school library media specialist can help fill this gap by evaluating and recommending titles that accurately and authentically represent various colors and cultures. If they aren’t already, librarians should be well-schooled in evaluation theories like the critical race theory or the racial identity theory. Although a variety of means to evaluate multicultural literature exists, some basic rules of thumb can be gleaned from Rudine Sims Bishop’s book, Shadow and Substance, according to Kathleen Horning.

“In Shadow and Substance, Sims Bishop identified five aspects of African American literature to measure authenticity, such as names, family relationships, descriptions of skin color and hair, elements of church and religion, and its importance to culture, and language,” Horning said.

Essentially this means that it’s all in the details, folks. It’s about being culturally-specific, looking for those details that not only make a story more graphic and interesting, but also lend greater accuracy and believability about that race or culture—for instance, identifying Sitting Bull as a Hunkpapa Lakota rather than a Cheyenne, or identifying Nannie Rose as a Lakota rather than a Sioux, as was done in My Heart Is on the Ground by Ann Rinaldi (Reese, 1999).

Most importantly, the discussion on multicultural literature must be allowed, Horning says, to take place regardless of these questions about whether or not someone can write about someone outside their culture. “We need to read with a more critical eye and have the freedom to discuss without feeling like it will become a polarized discussion,” Horning said.

For those librarians who are simply over the idea of talking about authenticity in multicultural literature, pushing the discussion beyond this square one to more expansive levels, like class issues within a race or culture, or the differences between a Cuban perspective and a Mexican perspective, can result in a more in-depth classroom discussion (or discussion among colleagues). Our students are open to it. In fact, one could argue that kids today are more inclined to these discussions than we assume, says Horning, so that we might just be able to learn something from them. “I find that when I talk to high school or college students, they don’t have this narrow world view. They are the first to be critical of literature as representing only white middle class,” Horning said.

Greater authenticity and accuracy in multicultural literature has not just randomly happened through the years. It has been pushed by people like Horning and Reese, and authors like Chow, with an insistence that widens our discussions, as well as our viewpoints, about others and their cultures. Though we may not realize it, we owe a lot to those early pioneers, like Rudine Sims Bishop, Nancy Larrick, Walter Dean Myers, Mildred Taylor, and others, who pushed this envelope. If it hadn’t been for these pioneers and those who continue to raise these arguments, we would still view Rosa Parks as merely a tired seamstress, rather than a woman who not only refused to go to the back of the bus, but also refused to quiet her voice in the face of prejudice. Or we may still see Squanto as a stereotypical red-faced Indian, rather than the dignified and brave presence of Tisquantum, native of Patuxet who belonged to the Wampanoag federation of tribes, a former slave who learned English and whose role was integral to the very survival of the Pilgrims.
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